

Charging and discharging of nano-capillaries during ion-guiding of multiply charged projectiles

M. Fürsatz¹, W. Meissl¹, S. Pleschko¹, I.C. Gebeshuber¹,

N. Stolterfoht², HP. Winter¹, and F. Aumayr¹

¹Institut für Allgemeine Physik, Vienna University of Technology, Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10, 1040 Vienna, Austria

²Hahn Meitner Institut Berlin, Glienicker Str. 100, D-14109 Berlin, Germany

<http://www.iap.tuwien.ac.at/www/atomic/>

e-mail: aumayr@iap.tuwien.ac.at


ABSTRACT

Efficient guiding of slow (typ. keV) highly charged ions (Ne^{7+}) through insulating nano-capillaries has been observed even if the capillaries were tilted by up to 20° with respect to the incoming ion beam direction [1]. Surprisingly, the majority of the projectile ions was found to survive the transition through the insulating capillary in their initial charge state. Measured 1-dim. scattering distributions of the transmitted particles indicated propagation of the projectile ions along the capillary axis. As reason for this "guiding effect" a charging-up of the inner walls of the capillaries in a self-organized way due to impact of preceding projectile ions has been proposed [1-4].


Theoretical modelling of the experimental observations has so far proven to be a challenging task [1-4]. Difficulties arise especially due to the different characteristic times observed in the experiment for capillary-wall charging and discharging [3, 4].

To gain more insight into this interesting phenomenon we have measured the 2-dim. scattering distribution of transmitted projectiles during the charging-up process.

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP




CHARGING SIMULATION



Simulation of charging effects in insulating capillaries taken from ref. [3].

The capillary target for these experiments consisted of a $10\ \mu\text{m}$ thick PET (polyethylene terephthalate) foil from HMI-Berlin. It was characterized with AFM at TU Wien (mean capillary diameter: $180\ \text{nm} \pm 25\%$, capillaries per unit area: $4 \times 10^6\ \text{cm}^{-2}$).

TRANSMITTED INTENSITY DURING CHARGING-UP AND DISCHARGING




Evolution of the transmitted intensity as a function of total deposited charge during the charging-up phase (left) and as a function of time during the discharging phase (right). Data for 12 keV Ar^{8+} projectiles and 4° tilt angle.

Acknowledgement

Work supported by Austrian Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftl. Forschung


FWF

HCI GUIDING THROUGH NANO-CAPILLARIES



Intensity of the transmitted projectiles (left) and observed peak angle of "guided" projectiles (right) after transmission of the PET capillary target as a function of capillary tilt angle for 12 keV Ar^{8+} projectiles. The dash-dotted curve in the left part shows the results of modeling calculations [11] using the theoretical framework described in [3, 4]. Interestingly our data for the "uncharged" capillaries (open symbols) indicate a certain time/charge dependence of the guiding effect, which we wanted to investigate in more detail.


EVOLUTION OF 2-D SCATTERING DISTRIBUTION DURING CHARGING-UP



above: Image of the "direct beam". 0° corresponds to the position of the incident ion beam (i.e. when removing the nano-capillary target).

right: Evolution of the measured 2-dim. scattering distribution for 12 keV Ar^{8+} ions transmitted through PET capillaries (tilt angle 4° with respect to the incident ion beam direction) during the charging-up phase.

below: Peak position (left) and width (FWHM, right) of the guided projectiles as a function of total deposited charge during the charging-up phase. Data for 12 keV Ar^{8+} projectiles and 4° tilt angle.



References

- [1] N. Stolterfoht, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 133201 (2002).
- [2] N. Stolterfoht, et al., Vacuum 73, 31 (2004).
- [3] K. Schiessl, W. Pfeiffer, C. Lemell, J. Burgdörfer, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 232, 228 (2005).
- [4] K. Schiessl, et al., Phys. Rev. A 72, 062902 (2005).
- [5] E. Galubitsch, et al., these proceedings
- [6] N. Stolterfoht, et al., Surface & Coatings Technology 195, 389 (2005).
- [7] R. Hellhammer, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 232, 235-243 (2005).
- [8] N. Stolterfoht, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 235, 460-467 (2005).
- [9] Y. Kanai et al., Proc. XXIV ICPEAC, Rosario, Argentina (2005), p. Fr131
- [10] M. B. Sahana, et al., Phys. Rev. A 73, 040901(R) (2006)
- [11] K. Schiessl and C. Lemell, private communication