A Multitechnique Study of CO Adsorption on the TiO₂ Anatase (101) Surface

Martin Setvin,* Maria Buchholz,‡ Weiyi Hou,§ Cui Zhang,‡ Bernhard Stöger,† Jan Hulva,† Thomas Simschitz,† Xiaoh Shi,§ Jiri Pavelec,† Gareth S. Parkinson,† Mingchun Xu,† Yuemin Wang,‡ Michael Schmid,† Christof Wöll,‡ Annabella Selloni,§ and Ulrike Diebold‡

1 Institute of Applied Physics, TU Wien, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10/134, 1040 Vienna, Austria
2 Institute of Functional Interfaces, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany
3 Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Frick Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, United States

ABSTRACT: The adsorption of carbon monoxide on the anatase TiO₂ (101) surface was studied with infrared reflection absorption spectroscopy (IRRAS), temperature-programmed desorption (TPD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), and density functional theory (DFT). The IRRAS data reveal only one CO band at ~2181 cm⁻¹ for both stoichiometric and reduced TiO₂ (101) surfaces. From TPD, an adsorption energy of 0.37 ± 0.03 eV is estimated for the isolated molecule, which shifts to slightly smaller values at higher coverages. Combining STM imaging and controlled annealing of the sample confirms the adsorption energies estimated from TPD and the slight repulsive intermolecular interaction. CO molecules desorb from electron-rich, extrinsic donor defect sites at somewhat higher temperatures. Confronting the experimental results with DFT calculations indicates that the anatase (101) surface does not contain any significant concentration of subsurface oxygen vacancies in the near-surface region. Comparison with CO adsorption on the rutile TiO₂ (110) surface shows that the tendency for excess electron localization in anatase is much weaker than in rutile.

INTRODUCTION

TiO₂ is a prototypical material used in catalysis,¹² photo-catalysis,⁴ and Grätzel solar cells.⁵ It also has promising properties for use in electronics as a transparent conductive oxide⁶—a memristor.⁷ TiO₂ has two main polymorphs relevant in industry: rutile and anatase.⁸ Rutile has been the subject of numerous works in surface science.⁸ In contrast, relatively little is known about the metastable anatase, which is preferably used in most applications.

CO adsorption is an important process in heterogeneous catalysis. TiO₂ is used in various catalytic reactions including low-temperature CO oxidation,¹⁰ CO hydrogenation,¹¹ the water gas shift reaction,¹¹ and the oxidation of CO by NO.¹² CO binds with its C atom to 5-fold coordinated surface Ti atoms (Ti₅c) upon adsorption on TiO₂.¹³–¹⁶ CO adsorption on the prototypical rutile TiO₂ (110) has been studied in detail by various methods. On a stoichiometric rutile (110) surface, temperature-programmed desorption (TPD)¹⁷–¹⁹ shows a CO desorption peak at ~145 K (corresponding to E_ads = 0.38 eV). The peak broadens at higher CO coverages, which was attributed to CO–CO repulsions in the layer and a decrease of the adsorption energy down to 0.20 eV in a 1 ML coverage limit.¹⁹ After the surface was reduced, a shoulder was observed at a higher temperature of ~170 K, corresponding to an E_ads = 0.44 eV.¹⁷ In infrared (IR) spectroscopy a single adsorption peak at 2188 cm⁻¹ is observed for CO adsorbed on a stoichiometric rutile (110) surface. After reduction, a secondary peak evolved at 2178 cm⁻¹. The increase in the CO adsorption energy and the secondary peak in the IR absorption spectra are related to surface oxygen vacancies (VOs) present at the reduced surface and to excess electrons donated to the material.¹⁵

Infrared reflection absorption spectroscopy (IRRAS) studies of CO adsorption on anatase are mostly limited to powders,¹¹ only one work reported measurements on a single crystal.¹¹ Contrast to rutile (110), anatase (101) does not contain any surface VOs.²⁵,²⁶ It has been shown that artificially created VOs migrate subsurface below room temperature, but further involvement of such subsurface species in the material’s surface chemistry remains an open issue. A recent density functional theory (DFT) study²⁷ has predicted that adsorption above subsurface VOs (second subsurface layer) should result in a significant redshift of 20 cm⁻¹ compared to regular Ti₅c sites. This offers a method for probing the concentration of subsurface VOs. The present study, which combines IR with TPD, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), is partially motivated by this result. While our DFT calculations confirm...
that near-surface VO$_{0.5}$ should show a significant shift of the CO stretching frequency, and concurrent increase in binding energy, this is not borne out by the experimental data. We conclude that subsurface VO$_{0.5}$ are not a major player in the adsorption of CO and that the differences in the desorption behavior of rutile and anatase are mainly due to the different nature of excess electrons, i.e., polaronic versus delocalized character.

■ EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL METHODS

The experiments were performed in three separate ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) chambers. In each case, anatase mineral samples were used as specimen, and the preparation procedure described in ref 28 was followed.

The IRRAS measurements were performed in a UHV Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) combination system. In addition to a state-of-the-art vacuum FTIR spectrometer, the apparatus contains also a separate analysis chamber with XPS and ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) as well as distribution and measurement chambers. The sample temperature could be varied between 50 K (He flow cryostat) and 900 K by resistive heating. Each IR spectrum was accumulated in 2048 scans with a resolution of 2 cm$^{-1}$ and was taken at grazing incidence (80°) at a base pressure of 7 × 10$^{-11}$ mbar. The optical path inside the IR spectrometer and the space between the spectrometer and UHV chamber were kept under vacuum to avoid any unwanted IR adsorption from gas-phase species. The single crystal used in the IRRAS measurements had a size of 5 × 5 mm$^2$ (MaTeck, Jülich, Germany). Preparation was considered successful when a high-quality (1 × 1) low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) pattern was observed. The cleanliness of the sample was further checked by XPS. CO gas with a purity of 99.98% was used. The CO was dosed at 70 K, and the dosing pressure was set in order to keep the dosing time in the range of 30–120 s. The IRRAS spectra were taken directly after the exposure. Exposures are given in langmuir (1 langmuir = 1.33 × 10$^{-6}$ mbar s). All IRRAS spectra were obtained by subtracting a background recorded immediately before exposure to CO.

The TPD measurements were performed in a separate UHV system with a base pressure of 5 × 10$^{-11}$ mbar using the HIDDEN quadrupole mass spectrometer in a line-of-sight configuration. Here the anatase sample was mounted on a Ta back plate, cooled by a Janis ST-400 UHV liquid-He flow cryostat, and heated by direct current through the back plate. The temperature was measured by a K-type thermocouple spot-welded to the sample plate and calibrated using multilayer supercells with an area of 10.21 × 15.10 Å$^2$, corresponding to a total of 48 Ti and 96 O atoms per unit cell. The reduced surface was modeled by introducing one subsurface oxygen vacancy in different slab geometries with an area of 10.21 × 15.10 Å$^2$, corresponding to a total of 48 Ti and 96 O atoms per unit cell. The reduced surface was modeled by displacing only the CO nuclei by 0.005 Å in both directions of each Cartesian coordinate. The latter approach is less precise but allows us to consider rather large systems, which are cumbersome to treat with linear response.

The anatase (101) surface was modeled with periodically repeated slabs separated by a 16 Å wide vacuum layer with two different setups. In the finite-difference calculations we used a slab model of three TiO$_2$ trilayers and (1 × 4) surface supercells with an area of 10.21 × 15.10 Å$^2$, corresponding to a total of 48 Ti and 96 O atoms per unit cell. The reduced surface was modeled by introducing one subsurface oxygen vacancy in the second layer of the slab. In the linear response calculations, we used a slab of two TiO$_2$ trilayers and (1 × 2) surface supercells with an area of 10.21 × 7.60 Å$^2$, corresponding to a total of 16 Ti and 32 O atoms per unit cell. In this case, the reduced surface was modeled by adsorbing two H atoms on bridging oxygen sites of the bottom surface. The CO adsorption energies on the two different slab geometries agree within 8 meV (first four lines of Table 1). In the geometric optimizations, all atoms were relaxed until the residual forces were smaller than 0.03 eV/Å.

■ RESULTS

Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy. The IRRAS results are shown in Figure 1. For the spectra displayed in the top panel (Figure 1a), a sample was used where the XPS measurements of the Ti 2p peak showed absence of the Ti$^{3+}$ shoulder; we conclude a low reduction state of the sample. Figure 1a displays the IRRAS spectra of CO adsorption at 95 K. At low coverage, a single peak at 2185 cm$^{-1}$ was detected for the CO stretching frequency (Figure 1a). Upon increasing the CO coverage close to 1 monolayer (ML), the peak exhibits a...
slight redshift to 2181 cm\(^{-1}\). Figure 1c shows the IR data measured with \(p\)- and \(s\)-polarized light. With \(p\)-polarized light, only a negative CO band at 2181 cm\(^{-1}\) was observed, indicating that the dynamic dipole moment of the CO stretch vibration interacts mainly with the component of the \(p\)-polarized light normal to the surface.\(^{29}\) No substantial difference was observed between light incident along the \([010]\) and \([1\overline{1}0]\) direction (see Figure 1c). No vibrational band was visible with \(s\)-polarized light (Figure 1c). The \(s\)-polarized light is oriented parallel to the surface and perpendicular to the incidence direction. This indicates that the CO adopts an orientation nearly perpendicular to the surface.

IRRAS data of samples subjected to many sputter−annealing cycles (a procedure known to reduce the surface) also show a single CO band at 2181 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 1b). This behavior is different from the case of rutile TiO\(_2\)(110)\(^{50}\) or CeO\(_2\)(111),\(^{35}\) where surface reduction resulted in the appearance of a secondary CO-stretching peak red-shifted by \(\sim 10\) cm\(^{-1}\) compared to the vibrational band observed on the stoichiometric surface. On anatase TiO\(_2\)(101), distinct additional peaks were not detected (see Figure 1b). The measurements were repeated several times on two different anatase mineral samples, with very similar results.

**Temperature-Programmed Desorption.** The desorption of CO adsorbed on a stoichiometric anatase (101) was studied by TPD, see Figure 2. The submonolayer regime is dominated by a peak that emerges at 135 K and shifts to 118 K with increasing coverage. The peak exhibits a small tail above 140 K, most likely associated with desorption from the vicinity of subsurface defects (see STM results, below). Following saturation of the main peak, two small peaks emerge at 80 and 53 K before desorption from multilayers begins at 37 K. A discussion of the origin of these peaks goes beyond the scope of this paper; possibly they are related to special sites such as defects or step edges. The coverages were calculated by integrating the area under each curve and normalizing to the spectrum obtained immediately prior to the onset of multilayer desorption (thick line in Figure 2a). The kinetic parameters were extracted by direct inversion of the Polanyi−Wigner equation assuming the pre-exponential factor (\(\nu\)) to be independent of coverage (\(\theta\)) and the desorption order to be unity, following the method described in detail in ref 36. The desorption energy for each spectrum is calculated as

\[ E_d(\theta) = -kT \ln \left( \frac{-d\theta / dT}{\beta \nu \theta} \right) \]

(1)

where \(k\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T\) the temperature, and \(\beta\) the heating rate (1 K/s). In eq 1, all parameters are known except for \(\nu\), which is treated as a parameter. When the procedure from ref 36 was followed, the appropriate value was found by ensuring that curves overlay for different initial coverages. This was the case for pre-exponential factors of \(10^{13} \pm 1\) s\(^{-1}\). Shown in Figure 2b are \(E_d(\theta)\) curves calculated from the TPD data in Figure 2a using \(\nu = 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}\). Varying the pre-exponential factor by 1 order of magnitude shifts the curves by \(\pm 0.03\) eV; we use this value as an estimate of our experimental error.

The coverage-dependent desorption energies in Figure 2b show three distinct regions. For very low coverages (<0.1 ML), the curves point upward, indicating higher binding energies. This is attributed to adsorption near donor sites. Note, however, that the finite pumping speed in TPD experiments can cause high-temperature tails in TPD peaks. This affects the low-coverage region as well, rendering the analysis less reliable (the curves obtained for
different initial coverages do not perfectly overlap in the lowest coverage regime). In the range of 0.1–0.85 ML, the desorption energy shows a linear behavior and decreases slightly with coverage. A similar behavior was observed for CO on stoichiometric rutile TiO2(110) and is attributed to intermolecular repulsion destabilizing the monolayer, although the effect is much smaller in the present case on anatase. An extrapolation to zero coverage (dotted line) allows an estimate of 0.37 eV for the desorption energy of an isolated molecule (“singleton”\(^\text{37}\)) on a perfect surface. The coverage of 0.85 ML (estimated from TPD by the procedure described above) corresponds to the situation in which all Ti\(_{\text{bc}}\) sites at the terraces are occupied by CO molecules (see STM results below). The adsorption energy at this point is 0.31 eV. The decrease in binding energy for very high coverages (>0.85 ML) in Figure 2b corresponds to desorption from lower-temperature states.

**STM Measurements.** STM was used to obtain local information about the CO adsorption configurations. We performed an experiment mimicking the TPD experiment, albeit with a much longer time constant. A full monolayer of CO was dosed at 78 K, which saturates (almost) all surface Ti\(_{\text{bc}}\) sites (Figure 3a).\(^\text{18}\) Then the surface was annealed step-by-step to increasing temperatures (10 min/annealing cycle), resulting in preferential desorption of molecules with lower binding energies. Analyzing the CO coverage as a function of temperature provides the CO adsorption energies, which can be compared to the TPD results. STM also reveals potential energy adsorption sites with higher CO binding energy and allow us to estimate CO–CO interactions (see below).

Figure 3 shows an example of STM images obtained in this way. Figure 3a shows 1 ML coverage; other panels show the surface after annealing to increasing temperatures. We note that isolated CO molecules appear twinned (Figure 3c) because of their “wagging” motion.\(^\text{14}\) Figure 4 shows the CO coverage as a function of the annealing temperature. The experiment was repeated on two different samples (different symbols in Figure 4; sample 2 was identical to the one used for the TPD experiments). The samples were subjected to varying numbers of sputter–anneal cycles, ranging from a few up to hundreds of cycles. The CO desorption shows only subtle variations with the specific sample used or its pretreatment.

We model the data in Figure 4 assuming again first-order desorption. The annealing cycles were approximated by keeping the sample at the corresponding temperature for 200 s (assuming first 400 s for thermal equilibration). The same frequency prefactor as for the TPD analysis was used, \(v_0 = 10^{13} \text{s}^{-1}\), and the adsorption energy, \(E_{\text{ads}}\), was treated as a parameter. The data can be reasonable well fitted by a single adsorption energy of \(E_{\text{ads}} = 0.34 \text{ eV}\), with a shoulder corresponding to an \(E_{\text{ads}} = 0.38 \text{ eV}\). The height of the shoulder seems to be dependent on the particular sample; we observed a correlation with the concentration of the defects marked in Figure 3d, which are characteristic for the anatase (101) surface, and previously they were attributed to extrinsic donors.\(^\text{38}\)

A careful analysis of the STM images shows that this shoulder corresponds to CO molecules adsorbed in the vicinity of these subsurface donors, see Figure 3c. The surrounding of the donors appears bright in STM images because of the surplus of excess electrons. The preference for occupying these bright regions indicates slightly stronger CO bonding in the vicinity of these donors. The shoulder observed in STM measurements (Figure 4) is attributed to the same physical mechanism as the enhancement in the binding energies extracted from the TPD measurements (Figure 2b) at low coverages.

**XPS.** We also took XPS spectra for various CO coverages, see Figure 5. The C 1s peak is found at 290.5 eV for 0.4 langmuir and shifts up to 291 eV at 2.8 langmuir. The CO-related peak in the O 1s state is found at 536.8 eV for 0.4 langmuir dose and shifts to 537.2 eV at 2.8 langmuir. These values are consistent with the ones obtained for weakly adsorbed CO on noble metal surfaces\(^\text{40,41}\) and with the C 1s binding energy reported for CO/Co\(_2\)O\(_3\).\(^\text{42}\) We checked for beam damage of the sample by measuring TPD spectra immediately after the XPS acquisition; they were identical to the ones for the nonirradiated sample.
DFT Calculations. In our DFT calculations, we described the sample reduction with two different models, either including a subsurface oxygen vacancy (located in the second layer below the surface, see Figure 6) or adding two hydrogen atoms to the backside of the slab. The calculated adsorption energies and CO stretching frequencies are listed in Table 1. Here, \( \Delta \nu \) represents the calculated frequency shift relative to the computed stretching frequency of gas-phase CO, and \( \nu \) (corrected) is obtained by adding this \( \Delta \nu \) to the experimental CO gas-phase stretching frequency of 2143 cm\(^{-1}\). The computed gas-phase CO frequencies by linear response and finite differences are 2149 and 2110 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively.

For stoichiometric anatase (101) the calculations yield an adsorption energy of 0.26 eV for a single adsorbed CO molecule. \( E_{\text{ads}} \) slightly decreases with increasing coverage, which is accompanied by a red-shift in the vibrational frequency. Similar CO coverage effects have been reported in ref 21. The calculated coverage-related decrease in the adsorption energies shows a good agreement with the experimental data. The measured coverage-dependent frequency shift of \( \sim 4 \) cm\(^{-1}\) is close to the detection limit, less than the calculated 10 cm\(^{-1}\).

As typical for the PBE functional, the adsorption energies are underestimated because dispersion (van der Waals (vdW)) interactions are not included. However, inclusion of simple vdW correction terms\(^\text{22}^\text{22}\) to PBE overestimates the adsorption energy (see Table 1). Nonetheless, the stretching frequencies in Table 1 are similar to the experimental values, indicating that the calculations describe the CO frequency shifts well.

The calculation for a reduced surface with a subsurface V\( _{O} \) shows an enhanced electron density above the V\( _{O} \).\(^\text{44}\) CO strongly prefers adsorption above the V\( _{O} \) (site 7 in Figure 6b); there, CO adsorption is 60% stronger than at the stoichiometric surface. This is accompanied by a significant redshift of the CO stretching frequency by approximately 30 cm\(^{-1}\). Other adsorption positions yield binding energies and stretching frequencies comparable to a stoichiometric surface (see Table 1). In the surface model reduced by the hydrogen atoms at the backside of the slab, the excess electrons are delocalized, causing only a minor enhancement of the electron density in the surface regime.\(^\text{53}\) As a consequence, the CO adsorption energies slightly increase compared to the stoichiometric surface, yet there is no strongly preferred adsorption position. Also, the calculated redshift is lower, \( \sim 7 \) cm\(^{-1}\).

### DISCUSSION

**Bonding of CO.** The CO bonding to different surfaces has historically attracted much attention.\(^\text{37,46--50}\) The interaction between CO and oxide surfaces has been reviewed in ref 37, and the specific case of CO adsorption on TiO\( _{2} \) has been considered in several ab initio studies, e.g., in refs 27, 48, and 51. The main contribution to the binding energy arises from electron donation from the carbon 5\( \pi \) orbital to the Ti\( \pi \) bond. The electrostatic effect often plays a major role in bonding to cations on oxides;\(^\text{37}\) it is almost entirely canceled by the Pauli repulsion in TiO\( _{2} \).\(^\text{48,51}\) The stretching mode of a CO molecule adsorbed on stoichiometric TiO\( _{2} \) is blue-shifted with respect to the gas phase (2143 cm\(^{-1}\)), mainly because of the electrostatic polarization of the CO dipole by the metal cation (Stark effect) and Pauli repulsion occurring when the molecule vibrates against a rigid surface (wall effect).\(^\text{51}\)

A possible backdonation to antibonding \( \pi^{*} \) orbitals of the CO molecule would increase the binding energy and cause a redshift in the CO stretching frequency. All adsorption sites on anatase (101) are equivalent from the structural point of view. Thus, CO acts as a sensitive probe for excess electrons in the material; the excess electrons within the bandgap of TiO\( _{2} \) have suitable energies for the backdonation. The differential electron density for the three types of slab considered in this work is shown in Figure 6c–e. The corresponding PDOS is shown in Figure 7.

When the anatase (101) surface is reduced by including subsurface oxygen vacancies, the calculated adsorption energy and stretching frequency above the V\( _{O} \) show a distinct difference compared to other adsorption positions at the surface, see Table 1 and Figure 6. The vibrational frequency becomes red-shifted by 30 cm\(^{-1}\). While an O-vacancy-induced shift was experimentally observed for rutile TiO\( _{2} \) and CeO\( _{2} \) surfaces (\( \sim 10 \) cm\(^{-1}\)),\(^\text{27,46}\) this effect is absent in reduced anatase. At the position directly above the vacancy the binding energy is predicted to increase by 0.15 eV as compared to sites that are not affected by the V\( _{O} \). While STM shows that
some molecules do adsorb more strongly than others, the measured difference in binding (0.04 eV) energy is far smaller than this value. From both the TPD and STM measurements, we can say that the number of CO molecules significantly exceeding the regular adsorption energy by more than 0.1 eV is less than 0.01 ML. We conclude that the oxygen vacancies created by the sputter−anneal process are not located in the second layer (as in our reduced surface model in Figure 6a). They must be located deeper.

Among the two DFT models for the reduced surface in this study, the slab model with H atoms on the backside provides the closest match to the experimental data. The difference between the H-reduced and VO-reduced slabs lies in the distribution of the excess electrons. A subsurface VO forms a defect in the crystal lattice, which results in an enhancement of the electron density above the VO. The localized excess charge above the subsurface VO would lead to enhanced backdonation into the CO antibonding orbitals, a strong increase of the CO binding energy, and a redshift of the CO stretching frequency; these effects are not observed experimentally. Our results are consistent with electrons that are delocalized throughout the anatase lattice.

**CO−CO Interactions.** Both the binding energy and the vibrational frequency can be affected by CO−CO interactions. Our DFT calculations predict a significant redshift of 10 cm$^{-1}$ in the CO stretching frequency from 0.25 to 1 ML coverage on the stoichiometric surface. The experimental data, however, show a shift of only 3 cm$^{-1}$ for the corresponding coverages. Another mechanism affecting the adsorption energy is the repulsive interaction between CO molecules related to their dipole moments. Our DFT results predict that this shift should be rather small (on the order of 0.04 eV). This is consistent with the TPD results in Figure 2b, which show a small decrease of the binding energy with coverage. Interestingly, this shift is much smaller than in rutile, where $E_{des}$ was found to decrease to half its value when going from the isolated molecule to monolayer coverage. This was attributed to a strong CO−CO repulsion; apparently the effect is much smaller on anatase.

**Table 1. Summary of the DFT-PBE Results of CO Adsorption on Anatase (101)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO coverage [ML]</th>
<th>$E_{ads}$ [eV]</th>
<th>$\Delta \nu$ calculated [cm$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\nu$ (corrected) [cm$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>anatase stoichiometric</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>2182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anatase stoichiometric</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.255 (0.461)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2182 (0.461)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anatase reduced VO</td>
<td>0.125 (VO)</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.125 (other)</td>
<td>0.22−0.27</td>
<td>32.7−35.7</td>
<td>2176−2179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anatase reduced 2×H</td>
<td>0.25 (pos1)</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>2175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25 (pos4)</td>
<td>0.282 (0.531)</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>2180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Results including Grimme D2 dispersion corrections$^{33}$ are in parentheses. The two values for reduced anatase refer to inequivalent adsorption sites relative to the reducing defect, e.g., VO corresponds to the geometry shown in Figure 6a with CO adsorbed above the subsurface vacancy (site 7 in Figure 6b).

Figure 6. Calculated CO adsorption configuration on the anatase (101) surface. (a) A slab with a subsurface oxygen vacancy. (b) Top view of the slab. The dashed circle marks the position of the subsurface VO, and numbers mark different adsorption positions. Panels c−e show CO on (c) a stoichiometric slab, (d) a slab with two H atoms on the back side, and (e) position 7 of the slab with a subsurface VO. Blue and yellow isosurfaces indicate increase and decrease ($\pm 0.004$ a.u) of the electron density, respectively, with respect to the sum of the charge densities of the slab and molecule.
Figure 7. Density of states (DOS) of a CO molecule adsorbed on a TiO$_2$ slab reduced by two adsorbed hydrogen atoms. The top panel shows the total DOS; the lower panel shows the DOS projected on the CO molecule. The main orbital contribution to the peaks in the CO-projected DOS is indicated.

The small decrease in adsorption energy shown in Figure 2b.
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from the resulting function and was discretized to the surface manually when necessary. The autocorrelation was calculated an automatic feature-recognition procedure and corrected

Then the positions of the CO molecules were determined by an autocorrelation function of CO positions

\[
E_{\text{rep}} = -kT \ln \left( \frac{p(\text{nearest neighbor})}{p(\text{average})} \right)
\]

where $p$ denotes the value of the autocorrelation function at a given point. This equation is valid for low coverages, where the average distances between two molecules (in a given direction) are smaller than the characteristic radius of the repulsive interaction. An example of such an autocorrelation function is shown in Figure 8. The following procedure was used to calculate the autocorrelation function: The STM image was first undistorted in order to remove any effects of piezo creep.

Then the positions of the CO molecules were determined by an automatic feature-recognition procedure and corrected manually when necessary. The autocorrelation was calculated from the resulting function and was discretized to the surface unit cells. Analysis by means of eq 2 provides the nearest-

neighbor repulsive interaction of only 3.4 meV, consistent with the small decrease in adsorption energy shown in Figure 2b. We note that the identical procedure performed on rutile provides a $\sim$10 times higher repulsive energy.

**Comparison to Rutile.** The data in Figure 8 confirm that the repulsive CO–CO interactions in the CO layer adsorbed on anatase (101) are rather small. The TPD peak broadening consists of two contributions: The adsorption energies are enhanced at low coverages because of the electron back-
donation. At high coverages, the adsorption energies are slightly lowered because of the CO–CO repulsive interactions in the layer. The smaller shift in peak position with coverage compared to rutile likely originates from the difference in the behavior of excess electrons. Excess electrons in rutile always

form localized polarons, regardless of how they are donated to the lattice. Likely this is the reason for forming two distinct CO adsorption configurations on the rutile (110) surface: CO molecules coupled and not-coupled to a polaron. In contrast, differences between CO molecules are significantly weaker on anatase (101). Anatase shows only one pronounced peak in the infrared spectra, and the adsorption energies of all CO molecules are rather similar. We attribute this to the lack of electron localization in anatase.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, CO adsorption on anatase TiO$_2$(101) shows a simple behavior. The measured adsorption energy for zero-

coverage limit is 0.37 $\pm$ 0.03 eV. The adsorption energy in the vicinity of subsurface donors increases by up to 40 meV, which we attribute to the electron backdonation. Upon increasing coverage, the CO adsorption energy is weakened because of dipole–dipole repulsive interactions, but these are small. The CO stretch frequency is $\sim$2181 cm$^{-1}$, independent of the reduction state. In contrast to rutile TiO$_2$(110), no additional CO vibrational peaks or strongly bound CO species are seen for the reduced substrate. We attributed the observed behavior to the lack of O-vacancy-induced small polarons in anatase and its preference for delocalized electrons. Our data also indicate that single subsurface oxygen vacancies directly below the anatase (101) surface (i.e., in the second layer) must be a rather rare species. Comparison between computational and experimental results indicates that adding delocalized electrons, e.g., through adsorbed hydrogen atoms on the backside of the slab, provides a suitable model of reduced anatase for DFT calculations.
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